

Lecture 10 (1/26/22).

We are proving:

Arzela-Ascoli Thm. A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(G, \mathbb{R})$ is normal \Leftrightarrow TFF:

(i) $\forall z \in G, \{f(z) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ compact.

(ii) \mathcal{F} is equicont. at every $z \in G$.

Pf. We started " \Rightarrow " and proved \mathcal{F} normal \Rightarrow (i). To prove that also (ii) holds, we recall: \mathcal{F} normal $\Leftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ compact and (X, d) is compact $\Leftrightarrow (X, d)$ is complete and totally bounded, i.e. $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists x_1, \dots, x_N$ s.t. $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^N B(x_n, \varepsilon)$. We now show:

(ii). Fix $z_0 \in G$ and pick $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is totally bdd \Rightarrow

(HW) \mathcal{F} is totally bdd. Let $\alpha_0 > 0$

s.t. $K = \overline{B(z_0, \alpha_0)} \subset \subset G$. By Lemma,
 last lecture

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\rho(f, g) < \delta \Rightarrow \sup_K d(f, g) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

• $\exists f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N B(f_n, \delta)$.

• $\exists 0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ s.t. $|z - z_0| < \alpha \Rightarrow d(f_n(z), f_n(z_0)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$
for $n=1, \dots, N$. But then for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$,
 $f \in B(f_n, \delta)$ for some $n \Rightarrow$

$$d(f(z), f(z_0)) \leq d(f(z), f_n(z)) + d(f_n(z), f_n(z_0)) \\ + d(f_n(z_0), f(z_0)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$

\Rightarrow (ii) holds.

\Leftarrow We shall show \mathcal{F} normal by using
Tychanoff. Thus:

let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable subset of
 G that is dense (e.g., points in G w/
rational real and imaginary parts),
consider $\Sigma_n = \{f(z_n) : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and
 $\Sigma = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_n$. By (i), each Σ_n is compact,
so by Tychanoff, Σ is compact.

We shall show that every seq. $\{f_k\}$ in \mathcal{F} has a convergent subsequence $\{f_{k_j}\}$.

$\{f_k\}$ gives rise to a seq $\{x^k\}$ in X by $x_n^k = f_k(z_n)$. By compactness, \mathcal{F} convergent subseq. $x^{k_j} \Rightarrow \exists x = \{s_n\}_n$ in X s.t. $f_{k_j}(z_n) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} s_n$. We shall show $\{f_{k_j}\}$ is Cauchy

on compacts. Let $K \subset G$, $\epsilon > 0$. Need:

Prop 1. If \mathcal{F} is equicont. at every $z \in G$, then \mathcal{F} is unif. equicontinuous on every compact $K \subset G$ (i.e. $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall z \in K, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, d(f(z), f(z')) < \epsilon$ if $|z - z'| < \delta$).

Pf. Same pf as showing that one cont. fun on G is unif. cont. on compacts. Details are DIY. \square

Let $\delta > 0$ be the one given by Prop 1 for K and $\epsilon/3$ (uniform equicont. on K).

Clearly, $\{B(z_n, \delta) \text{ for } z_n \in K\}$ is an open cover of $K \Rightarrow$ (after renumbering the z_n , if necessary) $K \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N B(z_n, \delta)$.

Choose $J \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $d(f_{k_j}(z_n), f_{k_l}(z_n)) < \varepsilon/3$ for $j, l \geq J$ and $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

For any $z \in K$, $z \in B(z_n, \delta)$ for some $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, \Rightarrow for $j, l \geq J$,

$$d(f_{k_j}(z), f_{k_l}(z)) \stackrel{\Delta\text{-ineq.}}{\leq} d(f_{k_j}(z), f_{k_j}(z_n)) + d(f_{k_j}(z_n), f_{k_l}(z_n)) + d(f_{k_l}(z_n), f_{k_l}(z)) < \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon.$$

\uparrow
equicont. at z_n + conv. at z_n .

Thus, we have shown that the subseq. $\{f_{k_j}\}_j$ is unif. Cauchy on every compact $K \subset G \Rightarrow \{f_{k_j}\}_j$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow$

convergent by completeness of $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$.



Return to complex analysis:

Space of analytic functions.

Given $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open, $H(G)$ denotes the space of analytic (holomorphic) functions in G . Clearly, $H(G) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$.

(Note: In literature, $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is often used.)

Thm 1. If $\{f_n\}_n$ is a seq. in $H(G)$ s.t.

$f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$, then $f \in H(G)$.

Moreover, $f_n^{(n)} \rightarrow f^{(n)}$ for all n .

Pf. $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow f_n \rightarrow f$

unif. on compacts $\Rightarrow f$ is analytic by Morera and Cauchy's Thm. DIY.

Similarly, $f_n^{(n)} \rightarrow f^{(n)}$ for all n by

Cauchy's estimate (or formula) as follows:

Let $K \subset G$, and $\delta = d(K, \mathbb{C} \setminus G) > 0$.
 Let $U = \bigcup_{z \in K} B(z, \delta/2)$. Then $K \subset U$,

$\bar{U} \subset G$, $d(K, \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{U}) = \delta' \geq \delta/2$

By Cauchy's Estimate in \bar{U} :

$$\sup_K |f^{(n)} - f_{k_j}^{(n)}| \leq \frac{n!}{(\delta')^n} \sup_{\bar{U}} |f - f_{k_j}|.$$

Since $f - f_{k_j} \rightarrow 0$ unif. on \bar{U} , $f^{(n)} - f_{k_j}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$
 unif. on K . $\Rightarrow f_{k_j}^{(n)} \rightarrow f^{(n)}$ in $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$. \square

Cor. 1. $H(G)$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$.